



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 9

STEVEN J. FUNK
SPRINT CORPORATION
8140 WARD PARKWAY
KANSAS CITY, MO 64114

COPY MAILED

JUN 01 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Manish Mangal et al :
Application No. 09/993,213 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: November 16, 2001 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)
Attorney Docket No. 1705 :

This is a decision on the petition, filed May 13, 2003, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant nonprovisional application for failure to timely notify the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing. See 37 CFR 1.137(f).

The petition is dismissed as **MOOT**.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application became the subject of a counterpart application filed in an eighteen-month country on September 19, 2002. A review of the file record discloses that a Notice to Rescind was received in the USPTO on August 26, 2002.

Where an applicant makes a nonpublication request under 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(i) and then rescinds (pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(ii)) the nonpublication request **before or on the date a counterpart application is filed in an eighteen-month publication country**, the nonpublication request will be treated as annulled and the application will be treated as if the nonpublication request had never been made. See Clarification of the United States Patent and Trademark Office's Interpretation of the Provisions of 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(ii)-(iv), 1272 OG 22 (July 1, 2003), available at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/patog/week26/>.

In the instant application, a notice to rescind was filed prior to the filing date of a counterpart application in an eighteen month publication country. Therefore, since receipt in the USPTO

of the request to rescind prior to the filing date of a counterpart application in an eighteen-month publication country resulted in the annulling of the nonpublication request, this application is not regarded as abandoned. As such, the filing of a petition to revive is unnecessary and is dismissed as involving a moot issue.

Since this application is not in fact abandoned, for the reason stated above, the petition fee of \$1,300 will be refunded to petitioner's deposit account in due course.

There is no indication that petitioner herein was ever empowered to prosecute the instant application. If petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney documentation must be submitted. A courtesy copy of this decision will be mailed to petitioner. However, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 2661 for examination in due course.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-8680.



Frances Hicks
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

cc:

McDonnell Boehnen
Hulbert & Berghoff
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606